Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F050420 People v. Fuentes

The above-entitled case is submitted for decision.

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F050420 People v Fuentes

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049936 People v. Leslie

The judgment is affirmed. Levy, Acting P.J.

We concur: Gomes, J.; Hill, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F051696 People v. Ornelas

No brief having been filed by appellant after notice duly given under rule 8.220(a)(1) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F051686 People v. Valenzuela

No brief having been filed by appellant after notice duly given under rule 8.220(a)(1) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F049306 People v. Tucek

The judgment of conviction is affirmed. The judgment of sentence is vacated and the matter remanded to the trial court for further proceedings in accordance with this opinion. Levy, Acting P.J.

We concur: Gomes, J.; Hill, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F050440 County of Kern v. Sparks

The judgment on the False Claims Act cause of action is reversed. The judgment on the negligent misrepresentation cause of action is affirmed. The parties shall bear their own costs on appeal. Levy, Acting P.J.

We concur: Gomes, J.; Hill, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F049579 People v. Grays, Jr.

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

F048910 People v. Hernandez

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

F052006 People v. Brown

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is ordered dismissed as having been improperly taken.

F051589 Campagna v. City of Fresno

Appellant having failed to perform the acts necessary to procure the record after the clerk of the trial court issued notice pursuant to the provisions of rule 8.140(b)(1), California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F047159 People v. Juan Geronimo Bautista

Pursuant to the order filed in the Supreme Court of the United States in the above-entitled action vacating the judgment and remanding the case to the Court of Appeal of California, Fifth Appellate District, for further consideration in light of Cunningham v. California 549 U.S. ____ (2007), the remittitur issued on August 22, 2006, is ordered recalled.

The Clerk of the Superior Court of Fresno County is directed to return said remittitur to this court forthwith.

Further, this court's judgment entered on June 8, 2006, is ordered vacated. The appeal is reinstated.

Based on the reinstatement of this appeal, counsel previously appointed will continue with the representation of appellant in this matter. Parties are to refer to the "Order Regarding Supplemental Briefing" posted on this court's website on February 21, 2007 for further instructions.